

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,444	CUSHMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Ivan H. Carpio	Art Unit 2841	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ivan H. Carpio. (3) Jeffrey Duquette.
 (2) Kammie Cuneo. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23 and 25-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Manabe 6711019.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the reading and interpretation of the independent claims and how they differ from the cited art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

KAMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
Examiner's signature, if required